arXiv:1608.03382v1 [math.NT] 11 Aug 2016

INTEGERS REPRESENTABLE AS THE PRODUCT OF THE SUM OF FOUR INTEGERS WITH THE SUM OF THEIR RECIPROCALS

YONG ZHANG

Abstract. By the theory of elliptic curves, we study the integers representable as the product of the sum of four integers with the sum of their reciprocals and give a sufficient condition for the integers with a positive representation.

1. Introduction

There are many interesting results about the representation of integers n by special symmetric polynomials $f(x,y,z)$, such as

$$n = f(x,y,z) = (x+y+z)\left(\frac{1}{x}+\frac{1}{y}+\frac{1}{z}\right), \frac{(x+y+z)^3}{xyz},$$
$$\frac{x}{y}+\frac{y}{z}+\frac{z}{x}, \frac{x}{y+z}+\frac{y}{z+x}+\frac{z}{x+y}, xy+yz+zx.$$

We refer to [1, 4, 2, 3, 5].

For $m \geq 2$, it is interesting to find nonzero integers $x_1, \cdots, x_m$ such that

$$n = (x_1 + \cdots + x_m)\left(\frac{1}{x_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{x_m}\right). \tag{1.1}$$

If $x_i, i = 1, .., m$ are all positive, we say n has a positive representation.

When $m = 2$, Eq. (1.1) has integer solutions only for $n = 0, 4$.

When $m = 3$, Eq. (1.1) reduces to

$$n = (x+y+z)\left(\frac{1}{x}+\frac{1}{y}+\frac{1}{z}\right), \tag{1.2}$$

which is known as Melvyn Knight's problem: which integers n can be represented as the product of the sum of three integers with the sum of their reciprocals. In 1993, A. Bremner, R.K. Guy and R.J. Nowakowski [1] studied this problem and gave the necessary and sufficient conditions for the integers with a positive representation. In 2010, R. Kozuma [9] got two sufficient conditions for an integer n which cannot be expressed in the form of Eq. (1.2) by considering the elliptic curve $E_n : y^2 + (n-3)xy + (n-1)y = x^3$ over $\mathbb{Q}$.

When $m = 4$, the authors of [1] gave two remarks about Eq. (1.1): (1) "If

$$n = (x+y+z+w)\left(\frac{1}{x}+\frac{1}{y}+\frac{1}{z}+\frac{1}{w}\right), \tag{1.3}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11D25; Secondary 11D72, 11G05.

Key words and phrases. the sum of integers, the sum of integers' reciprocals, elliptic curves.

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11501052).

then there are infinitely many representations of every integer n, e.g.,

$$(x, y, z, w) = (m^2 + m + 1, m(m + 1)(n - 1), (m + 1)(n - 1), -m(n - 1))$$

for any integer m"; (2) "The least n with a positive representation is 16, and it seems likely that for $n \geq 16$ there is always such a representation". To prove the second one is a difficult problem.

In this paper, we mainly consider the positive integer solutions of Eq. (1.3), i.e., the positive representation of n. The right side of Eq. (1.3) can be written as

$$16 + \frac{(x-y)^2}{xy} + \frac{(x-z)^2}{xz} + \frac{(x-w)^2}{xw} + \frac{(y-z)^2}{yz} + \frac{(y-w)^2}{yw} + \frac{(z-w)^2}{zw},$$

so if x, y, z, w are all positive integers, no integer less than 16 can be represented, and 16 only with $x = y = z = w$. In view of the homogeneity of Eq. (1.3), it only needs to study the positive rational solutions (x, y, z) of the equation

$$n = (x + y + z + 1)\left(\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y} + \frac{1}{z} + 1\right) \tag{1.4}$$

for $n > 16$. By the theory of elliptic curves, we give a sufficient condition for the integers n with a positive representation.

Theorem 1.1. *For positive integers $n > 16$ and positive rational numbers z with $nz - (z + 1)^2 > 0$, if the elliptic curve*

$$E_{n,z} : \ Y^2 = X(X^2 + (nz(nz - 2z^2 - 8z - 2) + (z^2 - 1)^2)X + 16nz^3(z + 1)^2)$$

has a rational point $P = (X, Y)$ satisfying the condition

$$X < 0, -\frac{X(X - 2z(nz + (z + 1)^2))}{2z} < Y < ((z + 1)^2 - nz)X, \tag{1.5}$$

*then Eq. (1.4) has a positive rational solution (x, y, z), i.e., n can be represented as the product of the sum of four **positive** integers with the sum of their reciprocals.*

Remark 1.2. (1) If Eq. (1.3) has a positive integer solution (x, y, z, z), then

$$n = (x + y + 2z)\left(\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y} + \frac{2}{z}\right), \tag{1.6}$$

and $E_{n,z}$ reduces to

$$E_{n,1} : \ Y^2 = X(X^2 + (n^2 - 12n)X + 64n).$$

We can discuss $E_{n,1}$ by the same methods of [1]. For integer k, Eq. (1.6) has positive integer solutions:

$$n = 4L_{4k} + 17, (x, y, z) = (F_{2k-1}, F_{2k+1}, 2F_{2k-1}L_{2k}F_{2k+1}), k \geq 1,$$

where F_k, L_k are the Fibonacci and Lucas numbers, satisfying $u_{k+1} = u_k + u_{k-1}, F_1 = F_2 = L_1 = 1, L_2 = 3$.

(2) If Eq. (1.3) has a positive integer solution (x, x, y, y), then

$$n = 4(x + y)\left(\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y}\right).$$

Solve it for x, we have

$$x = \left(\frac{n - 8}{8} \pm \frac{\sqrt{n^2 - 16n}}{8}\right)y.$$

In view of n^2-16n is a square and $n>16, x, y>0$, then $n=18, 25$. So 18 and 25 are the only positive integers with a positive representation (x,x,y,y).

(3) If Eq. (1.3) has a positive integer solution (x,y,y,y), by the same method of (2), 20 is the only positive integer with a positive representation (x,y,y,y).

From (1) of Remark 1.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}4L_{4k}+17=&(F_{2k-1}+F_{2k+1}+2F_{2k-1}L_{2k}F_{2k+1}+2F_{2k-1}L_{2k}F_{2k+1})\\&\times\left(\frac{1}{F_{2k-1}}+\frac{1}{F_{2k+1}}+\frac{1}{2F_{2k-1}L_{2k}F_{2k+1}}+\frac{1}{2F_{2k-1}L_{2k}F_{2k+1}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

This leads to the following interesting result.

Corollary 1.3. There are infinitely many positive integers n can be representable as the product of the sum of four **positive** integers with the sum of their reciprocals.

2. The proof of theorem 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1. From Eq. (1.4), we have

$$(yz+y+z)x^2+((1+z)y^2+(z^2+4z+1-nz)y+z^2+z)x+yz(y+z+1)=0.$$

Consider the above equation as a quadratic equation of x, if it has rational solutions, then the discriminant $\Delta(x)$ should be a perfect square. So we need to study the rational points (y,t) on the quartic elliptic curve

$$\begin{aligned}t^2=\Delta(x)=&(z+1)^2y^4+2(1+z)((z+1)^2-nz)y^3\\&+(z^2n^2-2z(z^2+4z+1)n+(z^2+4z+1)(z+1)^2)y^2\\&+2z(1+z)((z+1)^2-nz)y+z^2(z+1)^2.\end{aligned}\tag{2.1}$$

In view of a method of Mordell (see [10, p.77] or [6, p.476, Proposition 7.2.1]), (2.1) is birationally equivalent to the elliptic curve

$$E_{n,z}:\ Y^2=X(X^2+(nz(nz-2z^2-8z-2)+(z^2-1)^2)X+16nz^3(z+1)^2),$$

by the following mapping

$$\begin{cases}y=\dfrac{Y+X(nz-(z+1)^2)}{2(z+1)(X-4nz^2)},\\ t=(8nz^2(nz-(z+1)^2)Y-X^3+12nz^2X^2\\\quad+8nz^2(nz(nz-2z^2-8z-2)+(z^2+1)(z+1)^2)X\\\quad+64n^2z^5(z+1)^2)/(4(z+1)(X-4nz^2)^2),\end{cases}\tag{2.2}$$

and its inverse transformation is

$$\begin{cases}X=-2(z+1)((-z-1)y^2+(nz-(z+1)^2)y+t-z^2-z),\\ Y=2(z+1)(2(z+1)^2y^3-3(z+1)(nz-(z+1)^2)y^2\\\quad+(nz(nz-2z^2-8z-2)+(z+1)(z^3+5z^2+5z+1-2t))y\\\quad+(t-z^2-z)(nz-(z+1)^2)).\end{cases}$$

The discriminant of $E_{n,z}$ is

$$\Delta(n,z)=256(z+1)^4z^6(z^2n^2-2z(z^2+6z+1)n+(z-1)^4)(nz-(z+1)^2)^2n^2.$$

For $z>0$, $\Delta(n,z)=0$ if and only if $n=0,4,16$. So $E_{n,z}$ is nonsingular for $n>16$ and $z>0$.

It is easy to check that the point

$$P = \big(4z(1+z)^2, 4z(1+z)^2(nz-(z+1)^2)\big)$$

lies on $E_{n,z}$.

By the group law, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}[2]P =&\big(4z^2, -4z^2(nz+z^2+1)\big),\\ [4]P =&\bigg(\frac{4z^2(n(z+1)^2-z)^2}{(nz+z^2+1)^2}, \frac{4z^2(n(z+1)^2-z)}{(nz+z^2+1)^3}(z^2(1+z)^2n^3 - z^2(4z^2\\ &+7z+4)n^2 + (z^6+2z^5+9z^4+12z^3+9z^2+2z+1)n + z^5+z)\bigg).\end{aligned}$$

Let $E_{n,1}$ be the specialization of $E_{n,z}$ at $z=1$, and the specialization of point $[4]P$ at $z=1$ is

$$[4]P_1 = \left(\frac{4(4n-1)^2}{(n+2)^2}, \frac{4(4n-1)(4n^3-15n^2+36n+2)}{(n+2)^3}\right).$$

When the numerator of the x-coordinate of $[4]P_1$ is divided by $(n+2)^2$, the remainder equals $r=-288n-252$ and $r\neq 0$ when $n>16$, so the x-coordinate of $[4]P_1$ is not a polynomial. For $17\leq n\leq 284$ one can check that $r/(n+2)^2$ is not an integer, and that it is nonzero and less than 1 in modulus for $n>285$. Hence for all $n>16$ the point $[4]P_1$ has nonintegral x-coordinate and hence, by the Nagell-Lutz Theorem (see [11, p.56]), is of infinite order. Then $E_{n,z}$ has positive rank in the field $\mathbb{Q}(z)$. According to the Specialization Theorem of Silverman (see [12, p.457, Theorem 20.3]), for all but finite many positive rational numbers z, $E_{n,z}$ has positive rank.

From Mazur's Theorem (see [11, p.58]), a point of finite order on an elliptic curve has maximal order 12. Let us compute the expression $[m]P=(X(m),Y(m))$ with $1\leq m\leq 12$, and determine those $z>0$ such that denominator of $X(m)$ has a zero at z. By some calculations, we find that for every $z>0$, $[m]P$ is not a point of finite order, so P is a point of infinite order. Then $E_{n,z}$ has positive rank over $\mathbb{Q}$ for $z>0, n>16$.

From the transformation (2.2), we get

$$x = -\frac{X^2-2z(nz+(z+1)^2)X+2zY}{2(1+z)((nz-z^2-1)X-8nz^3+Y)}, y=\frac{Y+X(nz-(z+1)^2)}{2(z+1)(X-4nz^2)}.$$

In view of $x>0, y>0$, we have four cases:

$$\begin{aligned}&(1)\ X^2-2z(nz+(z+1)^2)X+2zY>0, (nz-z^2-1)X-8nz^3+Y<0;\\ &\quad Y+X(nz-(z+1)^2)>0, X-4nz^2>0;\\ \textit{or}\ &(2)\ X^2-2z(nz+(z+1)^2)X+2zY>0, (nz-z^2-1)X-8nz^3+Y<0;\\ &\quad Y+X(nz-(z+1)^2)<0, X-4nz^2<0;\\ \textit{or}\ &(3)\ X^2-2z(nz+(z+1)^2)X+2zY<0, (nz-z^2-1)X-8nz^3+Y>0;\\ &\quad Y+X(nz-(z+1)^2)>0, X-4nz^2>0;\\ \textit{or}\ &(4)\ X^2-2z(nz+(z+1)^2)X+2zY<0, (nz-z^2-1)X-8nz^3+Y>0;\\ &\quad Y+X(nz-(z+1)^2)<0, X-4nz^2<0.\end{aligned}$$

From case (2), we get the condition (1.5)

$$X < 0, -\frac{X(X - 2z(nz + (z+1)^2))}{2z} < Y < ((z+1)^2 - nz)X,$$

where $nz - (z+1)^2 > 0$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

Example 1. When $n = 17$, from $17z - (z+1)^2 > 0$, we have

$$0.06696562634 \approx \frac{15}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{221}}{2} < z < \frac{15}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{221}}{2} \approx 14.93303437.$$

Taking $z = 1$, the curve $E_{17,1}$ has a rational point $(X, Y) = (-16, -16)$ satisfying the condition (1.5), which leads to the positive rational solution $(x, y, z) = \left(\frac{4}{7}, \frac{2}{3}, 1\right)$. Then for $n = 17$, Eq. (1.3) has a positive integer solution $(x, y, z, w) = (12, 14, 21, 21)$.

When $n = 17, z = 3$, we don't find a rational point on $E_{17,3}$ satisfying the condition (1.5).



(a) (b)

FIGURE 1. (a) $n = 17, z = 1$ and (b) $n = 17, z = 3$ with condition (1.5)

In figure 1, we can see that if the elliptic curve $E_{17,z}$ has two components, an "egg" for $X < 0$ and an infinite branch for $X > 0$, then the rational points on $E_{17,z}$ satisfying (1.5) lie on the egg.

3. Some related questions

Since Theorem 1.1 is conditional, we pose the following question

Question 3.1. Whether the elliptic curve $E_{n,z}$ has a rational point satisfying the condition (1.5) for every $n > 16$ and some $z > 0$ with $nz - (z+1)^2 > 0$?

In Table 1 we list a positive integer solution of Eq. (1.3) for $17 \le n \le 100$ but $n = 36, 40, 64, 68, 100$ in the range $1 \le x \le 500, x \le y \le 3000, y \le z \le 6000$. Fix $w = 1$, for some small z, we cannot find a suitable rational point on $E_{n,z}$ such that Eq. (1.4) has a positive rational solution for $n = 36, 40, 64, 68, 100$.

n	(x,y,z,w)	n	(x,y,z,w)	n	(x,y,z,w)
17	$(2,3,3,4)$	45	$(1,1,6,12)$	73	$(5,44,45,198)$
18	$(1,1,2,2)$	46	$(6,35,78,91)$	74	$(28,33,209,756)$
19	$(5,8,12,15)$	47	$(7,12,78,91)$	75	$(4,7,78,91)$
20	$(1,1,1,3)$	48	$(1,1,3,15)$	76	$(1,7,10,42)$
21	$(8,14,15,35)$	49	$(1,2,5,20)$	77	$(1,5,18,36)$
22	$(1,1,2,4)$	50	$(1,2,9,18)$	78	$(1,6,28,28)$
23	$(76,220,285,385)$	51	$(35,77,480,528)$	79	$(1,3,24,28)$
24	$(1,2,3,6)$	52	$(1,3,4,24)$	80	$(1,5,9,45)$
25	$(1,1,4,4)$	53	$(2,4,9,45)$	81	$(25,30,65,780)$
26	$(20,27,39,130)$	54	$(1,3,8,24)$	82	$(7,24,112,273)$
27	$(3,7,8,24)$	55	$(27,132,231,702)$	83	$(8,78,129,344)$
28	$(2,9,10,15)$	56	$(32,60,207,736)$	84	$(1,3,5,45)$
29	$(1,1,4,6)$	57	$(3,6,40,56)$	85	$(1,18,20,36)$
30	$(2,3,10,15)$	58	$(2,11,20,55)$	86	$(5,28,30,252)$
31	$(1,4,5,10)$	59	$(25,41,72,600)$	87	$(2,4,15,84)$
32	$(1,2,6,9)$	60	$(2,21,35,42)$	88	$(2,9,22,99)$
33	$(12,35,51,140)$	61	$(2,7,15,60)$	89	$(1,1,12,28)$
34	$(6,35,40,63)$	62	$(3,16,45,80)$	90	$(3,21,80,120)$
35	$(8,45,63,84)$	63	$(3,12,50,75)$	91	$(18,93,308,868)$
36	(?)	64	(?)	92	$(1,3,12,48)$
37	$(1,3,8,12)$	65	$(2,9,44,44)$	93	$(3,7,30,140)$
38	$(2,3,15,20)$	66	$(1,12,12,30)$	94	$(1,5,8,56)$
39	$(4,18,20,63)$	67	$(1,4,20,25)$	95	$(3,8,88,99)$
40	(?)	68	(?)	96	$(1,7,30,42)$
41	$(1,5,12,12)$	69	$(24,140,561,595)$	97	$(5,20,21,276)$
42	$(1,1,4,12)$	70	$(1,6,21,28)$	98	$(1,18,33,36)$
43	$(5,14,44,77)$	71	$(1,10,21,28)$	99	$(1,4,20,50)$
44	$(2,14,15,35)$	72	$(1,4,21,28)$	100	(?)

Table 1. A positive integer solution of Eq. (1.3) for $17 \leq n \leq 100$

Question 3.2. Are there infinitely many positive integers n can be representable as the product of the sum of four **distinct positive** integers with the sum of their reciprocals.

When $m = 5$, by some calculations, for $25 \leq n \leq 200$, Eq. (1.1) has positive integer solutions. So we raise

Question 3.3. For $m \geq 5$, does Eq. (1.1) have a positive integer solution $(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_m)$ for every integer $n \geq m^2$?

Moreover, we ask

Question 3.4. Whether $m = 5$ is the least number such that the integers $n \geq m^2$ can be representable as the product of the sum of m positive integers with the sum of their reciprocals?

When $m = 5$, we have

$$36 = (1+1+2+4+4)\left(1+1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{4}\right),$$
$$40 = (2+9+9+10+15)\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{9}+\frac{1}{9}+\frac{1}{10}+\frac{1}{15}\right),$$
$$64 = (1+2+3+4+20)\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{20}\right),$$
$$68 = (1+5+12+15+15)\left(1+\frac{1}{5}+\frac{1}{12}+\frac{1}{15}+\frac{1}{15}\right),$$
$$100 = (1+1+10+15+18)\left(1+1+\frac{1}{10}+\frac{1}{15}+\frac{1}{18}\right).$$

Remark 3.5. In 1963, R.L. Graham [7] proved that every integer greater than 77 can be partitioned into **distinct** positive integers whose reciprocals add to 1, i.e.,

$$n = x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_m,\ \frac{1}{x_1} + \frac{1}{x_2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{x_m} = 1,$$

where $0 < x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_m$. Then for $n > 77$ there exist **distinct** positive integers $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_m$ such that

$$n = (x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_m)\left(\frac{1}{x_1} + \frac{1}{x_2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{x_m}\right).$$

In 2013, G. Köhler and J. Spilker [8] showed that every integer greater than 23 can be partitioned into positive integers whose reciprocals add to 1 (which is called harmonic partitions). Then the integers $n > 23$ can be representable as the product of the sum of m positive integers with the sum of their reciprocals for some m.

References

1. A. Bremner, R.K. Guy and R.J. Nowakowski, Which integers are representable as the product of the sum of three integers with the sum of their reciprocals?, Math. Comp. **61** (1993), 117–130.
2. A. Bremner and R.K. Guy, Two more representation problems, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **40** (1997), 1–17.
3. A. Bremner and A. Macleod, An unusual cubic representation problem, Ann. Math. Inform. **43** (2014), 29–41.
4. S. Brueggeman, Integers representable by $(x+y+z)^3/xyz$, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **21** (1998), 107–115.
5. T. Cai, On the Diophantine equation $xy + yz + zx = m$, Publ. Math. Debrecen **45** (1994), 131–132.
6. H. Cohen, Number theory, Vol. I: Tools and Diophantine equations, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 239, Springer, New York, 2007.
7. R.L. Graham, A theorem on partitions, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **3** (1963), 435–441.
8. G. Köhler and J. Spilker, Harmonische Partitionen: Partitionen mit gegebener Summe der Kehrwerte der Teile, (German) [Harmonic partitions: partitions with a given sum of the reciprocals of the parts] Math. Semesterber. **60** (2013), 67–80.
9. R. Kozuma, A note on elliptic curves with a rational 3-torsion point, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **40** (2010), 1227–1255.
10. L.J. Mordell, Diophantine Equations, Academic Press, London, 1969.
11. J.H. Silverman and J. Tate, Rational points on elliptic curves, Springer, 1992.
12. J. Silverman, The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves, Third Edition, Springer, New York, 2009.

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Changsha University of Science and Technology, Changsha 410114, People's Republic of China

School of Mathematical Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, People's Republic of China

E-mail address: zhangyongzju@163.com